

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra. Virgania 22313-1450 www.sapho.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

APPLICATION NO.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/976,366	10/12/2001	Daniel W. Kazmierski	42741D	2699		
109	7590 12/04/2003		EXAM	INER		
	THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION			FOELAK, MORTON		
P. O. BOX 1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MIDLAND,	MI 48641-1967		1711			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/976,366	KAZMIERSKI ET	Δί			
			xaminer	Art Unit				
			forton Foelak	1711				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this comn				dress			
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failure - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMI nsions of time may be available under the provis SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cepend for reply specified above is less than thir period for reply is specified above, the maximum to to reply within the set or extended period for reply preceived by the Office later than three month and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a ommunication. ty (30) days, a reply wit n eply will, by statute, cat ths after the mailing dat	a). In no event, however, may hin the statutory minimum of t pply and will expire SIX (6) M use the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely ONTHS from the mailing date of this co	<i>y.</i> mmunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 21 Augu	ıst 2003.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This act	ion is non-final.					
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
	on Papers							
10)[The specification is objected to by The drawing(s) filed on is/a Applicant may not request that any ol Replacement drawing sheet(s) includ The oath or declaration is objected	re: a) ☐ accepte ojection to the drawing the correction	wing(s) be held in abey is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF				
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•						
a)l * S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a cla All b) Some * c) None o 1. Certified copies of the priori 2. Certified copies of the priori 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Interna ee the attached detailed Office ac cknowledgment is made of a clain noe a specific reference was included. 7 CFR 1.78. 1 The translation of the foreign of the copies of the complete com	f: Ity documents ha ity documents ha is of the priority tional Bureau (P tion for a list of the n for domestic pr ded in the first se language provisi n for domestic pr	ave been received in documents have bee CT Rule 17.2(a)), he certified copies no iority under 35 U.S.Centence of the specification has iority under 35 U.S.Centence of the specification has iority under 35 U.S.C	Application No In received in this National Sot received. Solution (1999) (19	application) Data Sheet.			
2) D Notice 3) D Inform	(s) a of References Cited (PTO-892) b of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review lation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5) Motice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-) .152)			

Appli ation/C ntr I Numb r: 09/976,366 Art Unit: 1711

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may btain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can b overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so thy ar no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaim reannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of the claim 1 of provisional Pat. Nos. US 2003/0045594 or claims 9-21 of US 2001/0000252 or of claims 1-23 of pri r U.S. Patent No. 6,372,810. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflect d in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewis extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent p ssible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Appli ation/Contr I Numb r: 09/976,366

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of r cord may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 f provisional Pat. Nos. US 2003/0045594 or of claims 9-21 of US 2001/0000252 or of claims 13-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,372,810.
Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims read on the claims of the references

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Morton

Fo lak whose telephone number is (703) 308-2442. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. James Seidleck can be reached on (703)

308-2462. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whos t I phone number is (703) 308-0661.

M.F. N v. 7, 2003 Morton Foelak
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1711